The Collaboration Myth

29.03.2026 16:47:38 | Management Insights, Lukas Michel | Collaboration, Unmanaged

“If People Tried Harder, Collaboration Would Improve”

Organizations spend millions on collaboration.
Workshops, team retreats, digital platforms, new meeting formats, agile rituals, shared OKRs — all designed to help people “work better together.”

Yet in most organizations, collaboration still feels harder than it should:

- Teams are well-meaning but misaligned.
- Meetings multiply without improving coordination.
- Cross-functional work turns into negotiation.
- Decisions require escalation rather than dialogue.
- Conflict stems not from personality, but from structure.
- Strategy gets stuck at functional boundaries.
- Leaders feel they are “pulling” the system instead of enabling it.

This widespread struggle has a simple explanation:

Collaboration is not a behavioral issue.
Collaboration is a management architecture issue.

People do not collaborate (or fail to collaborate) because of personality or effort.
They collaborate (or fail to collaborate) because the system enables or disables collaboration.

This article explores why collaboration fails even when people try their best, why leaders misdiagnose the root cause, and how Structural Reflection and Organization Twins reveal — and repair

— the coherence required for real collaboration.

The Collaboration Myth: “If People Tried Harder, Collaboration Would Improve”

Most leaders believe collaboration depends on:

- communication,
- trust,
- attitude,
- motivation,
- psychological safety,
- willingness to help,
- cultural fit.

These elements matter — but they are secondary.

Collaboration depends primarily on:

- clear decision logic,
- aligned priorities,
- shared purpose across functions,
- coherent workflows,
- integrated structures,
- manageable workload,
- and friction-free boundaries.

Without these, even the best teams fail at cross-functional work.

This is why collaboration problems rarely stem from the people — they stem from the system.

Why Collaboration Fails in

Unmanaged Systems

The unmanaged causes of poor collaboration are predictable and systemic.

1. Fragmented Purpose

Each function optimizes for its own goals.
The system has no shared storyline.

Sales optimizes for speed.
Finance optimizes for control.
HR optimizes for policy.
IT optimizes for stability.
Operations optimize for efficiency.

No one optimizes for the whole.

Result: collaboration turns into trade-offs instead of teamwork.

2. Disjointed Workflows

Work does not flow; it bounces.

Tasks move:

- across unclear handovers,
- through redundant approvals,
- into overloaded teams,
- across mismatched processes.

Teams collaborate upstream and clash downstream.

Result: collaboration feels like friction.

3. Unclear Decision Rights

When people don’t know:

- who decides,
- how decisions are made,

- and where authority sits,

they escalate everything.

Escalation is not a behavior problem.
It is a design problem.

4. Overload and Energy Drain

People want to collaborate —
but collaboration requires energy, and they have none left.

Overload destroys goodwill.

Result: collaboration becomes transactional and defensive.

5. Conflicting Priorities

If two teams have:

- different metrics,
- different deadlines,
- different definitions of success,

no workshop can make them collaborate effectively.

Result: effort increases, alignment decreases.

The Misdiagnosis: Treating Symptoms Instead of Architecture

When collaboration fails, most organizations respond with:

- communication training,
- alignment meetings,
- new collaboration tools,
- more coordination roles,
- behavioral workshops,
- “better teamwork” initiatives,

- new cross-functional committees.

These actions treat collaboration as a people problem.

But collaboration failure is a system problem.

No tool, workshop, or team ritual can compensate for:

- disjointed structures,
- contradictory processes,
- missing decision logic,
- unmanaged workload,
- incoherent goals.

This is why collaboration interventions often produce short-lived enthusiasm —
then collapse under structural contradictions.

The Breakthrough: Collaboration Requires Coherence

Collaboration only works when the management system is coherent.
Coherence is created when:

- priorities align,
- decisions are clear,
- workflows connect,
- roles fit together,
- capabilities match purpose,
- workloads are balanced,
- boundaries function smoothly.

Collaboration is the result of coherent management —
not the cause.

This is why the integrated attribute of mastery is so central in *Unmanaged*.

But coherence remains invisible unless leaders diagnose it.

How Structural Reflection Reveals the True Barriers to Collaboration

The right reflection questions make collaboration architecture visible.

1. Structural Reflection — Collaboration Through the Eyes of the System

The reflection survey reveals:

- where collaboration breaks down,
- where boundaries clash,
- where priorities misalign,
- where workload prevents contribution,
- where decision rights are unclear,
- where trust is structural, not psychological.

It shows collaboration as a function of:

- capability
- energy
- clarity
- structure
- design

This reframes collaboration from “soft” to “systemic.”

2. Organization Twins — Visualizing the Collaboration Architecture

The Twin shows how collaboration actually behaves:

- handover quality

- tension points
- workflow blockages
- structural gaps
- cross-functional contradictions
- capability mismatches
- alignment vs. misalignment

Leaders see the patterns that make collaboration difficult —
not in isolated incidents,
but across the entire organization.

The Twin replaces finger-pointing with system insight.

How Guided Clarity Sessions Rebuild Real Collaboration

Collaboration cannot be improved through exhortation.
It must be designed.

The Clarity Before Change methodology guides leaders to:

1. Align purpose across functions
Shared understanding of value creation.

2. Redesign workflows
Remove friction, improve handovers, clarify interfaces.

3. Clarify decision rights
Define who decides, how, and where.

4. Synchronize priorities and metrics
Reduce contradictions; increase coherence.

5. Build cross-functional capability
Move from “handover logic” to “shared ownership.”

6. Balance workload
Create space for collaboration.

7. Establish collaboration routines
Short cycles, clear expectations, learning loops.

8. Enable leadership shifts
From managing tasks → managing conditions.
This is collaboration through architecture — not behavior.

What Collaborative Organizations Look Like

When coherence rises, collaboration transforms:

1. Conversations accelerate
Because alignment is built-in.

2. Decisions are made at the right level
Because roles are clear.

3. Work flows smoothly
Because processes fit together.

4. People trust each other
Because the system is fair.

5. Innovation increases
Because boundaries become bridges.

6. Culture strengthens
Because collaboration is natural, not forced.

7. Leadership becomes catalytic
Less mediation, more enabling.

Collaboration is not a mystery.
It is a management design outcome.

Closing Reflection

Organizations do not suffer from a collaboration problem.
They suffer from a coherence problem.

People do not fail to collaborate because they lack willingness.
They fail to collaborate because the system does not allow coherence.

The solution is clear:

- The Structured Reflection reveals collaboration friction.
- The Twin visualizes the architecture behind it.
- The Clarity Before Change Methodology redesigns the system

for real collaboration.

Collaboration improves not when people try harder —
but when management becomes coherent.

This is integrated, mastered management.

About Management Insights

Founded by Lukas Michel, Management Insights draws on more than 25 years of research and practice to help people understand how their organizations work. The Organization Twin brings organizational patterns into view for reflection, dialogue, and informed choice.

BEGIN REFLECTION

Private · Free of charge · Approximately 15 minutes

- Version zum Drucken
- Per E-Mail versenden
- Newsletter abonnieren

- Twittern