The Idea Is Visible The Organization Behind It Is Not

18.09.2026 16:16:18 | Management Insights, Lukas Michel | 0 Comments | Global Drucker Forum, Innovation

Why leaders need to see the system that receives the new

Why leaders need to see the system that receives the new

Ideas are easy to point to. They appear in a proposal, a prototype, a slide deck or a demonstration. The organization behind them is much harder to see.

When an idea advances, we praise its quality and its champion. When it disappears, we often blame weak sponsorship, poor execution or resistance. These explanations may contain truth, but they separate the event from the conditions that shaped it.

Every idea enters an existing operating reality. Decision rights determine who may authorize a test. Budget routines decide when resources can move. Performance measures influence whether people can support work outside their targets. Informal relationships determine who is heard. Past experience shapes how risk is interpreted. None of these conditions appears on the prototype, yet together they may determine its future.

The hidden organization

The official organization is visible in structures, roles and processes. The lived organization appears in recurring interactions: where decisions are really made, which questions can be raised, how functions respond to one another and what happens when outcomes are uncertain.

This lived organization is not hidden because people intend to

conceal it. It is hidden because everyone sees only part of it. Finance sees the funding logic. Technology sees dependencies. Operations sees reliability requirements. Sales sees customer urgency. Senior leaders may receive polished summaries after the tensions have been removed.

As a result, an innovation discussion can become a debate about the idea while the decisive organizational pattern remains outside the room.

Making the organization a shared object

An Organization Twin provides a way to see the system together. It is not a digital replica that predicts the future and not a diagnosis delivered from outside. It is a shared representation of organizational conditions and relationships. Its value lies in making different observations discussable.

A twin may reveal that the strategy depends on rapid learning while decisions still move upwards. It may show that collaboration is important but measures reward local optimization. It may make visible a strong operational discipline that protects quality and, under different conditions, delays exploration. The point is not to label these features good or bad. It is to understand how they interact in the present situation.

Once the organization becomes visible, the innovation question changes. Instead of asking only whether the idea is attractive, leaders can ask what the organization would need to learn, protect, loosen or connect for the idea to be explored responsibly.

From attribution to inquiry

This shift also changes responsibility. If an idea stalls, the person championing it may need to improve the case. The executive sponsor may need to act. But the organization may also be producing a predictable outcome through its normal routines. Seeing that pattern prevents leaders from personalizing what is systemic.

Clarity does not guarantee that an idea should proceed. It may reveal that the idea does not fit the purpose, that the timing is wrong or that another option deserves attention. The gain is not automatic approval. The gain is a more conscious choice.

The visible idea attracts attention. The less visible organization determines what attention can become.

Continue the reflection

What does our organization actually do when a genuinely new idea appears?

What does this question make visible in your organization?

THE 3 AM QUESTION

- Version zum Drucken
- Per E-Mail versenden
- Newsletter abonnieren

- Twittern

No comments yet.