The Executive Team Where Ingenuity Is Amplified or Silenced

18.09.2026 17:04:55 | Management Insights, Lukas Michel | 0 Comments | Global Drucker Forum, Innovation

How leadership conversation determines what can become thinkable

How leadership conversation determines what can become thinkable

The executive team does more than decide which ideas receive resources. Through its conversations, it influences which ideas can become thinkable in the first place.

People observe what happens when uncertainty enters the room. They notice whether the first senior opinion becomes the conclusion, whether unfamiliar evidence is explored or defended against and whether questions without owners disappear from the agenda. These moments teach the organization what kind of ingenuity is welcome.

Unfinished thoughts need a place

Important ideas rarely begin as complete proposals. They begin as a weak signal, a connection, a discomfort or a question. Executive routines, however, often require issues to arrive with facts, options and a recommendation. This makes meetings efficient, but it can remove the stage at which collective intelligence adds the most value.

A leader may sense that several customer issues express one deeper pattern. Another notices that a technology decision

changes the business model. A third sees a capability that is becoming strategically important. If each person works privately until the thought is defensible, the team receives conclusions rather than an invitation to see together.

Ingenuity is amplified when a member can say, “I cannot explain this fully yet, but I think these developments may be connected.” The team’s first task is not to judge the idea. It is to improve the question.

Difference is raw material

Executive teams bring different knowledge, interests and responsibilities together. That difference can expand perception. It can also turn quickly into positional debate. Finance tests viability, Operations protects reliability, Sales brings customer urgency and Technology sees architecture. If each perspective is used to defeat the others, ingenuity narrows. If the perspectives are connected, the team may see an option no function could create alone.

Psychological safety matters, but permission to speak is not sufficient. The conversation needs structure. Observations should be distinguished from interpretations. Assumptions should become discussable. The team should hear what does not fit the emerging story before seeking alignment.

Power shapes attention

The CEO’s opening view carries weight even when disagreement is invited. A practiced team can collect observations before evaluation, allow quieter expertise to enter and ask deliberately for a competing explanation. This is not ceremonial inclusion. It protects the quality of the team’s understanding.

Structured Reflection and an Organization Twin can provide a shared object of attention. The Guided Clarity Session protects the inquiry long enough for relationships, tensions and options to become visible. The guide does not supply the right answer. The team retains judgment and responsibility.

What the room teaches

Every executive conversation sends a message beyond the room. When leaders respond to a failed experiment with inquiry, people learn that evidence matters. When they punish surprise, people learn to hide uncertainty. When they revise their own assumptions publicly, learning becomes credible.

Ingenuity does not require endless openness. The team must eventually choose and commit. Its distinctive contribution lies in keeping the field open long enough for a better choice to emerge.

Continue the reflection

Can different views survive long enough in our executive team to produce insight?
What does this question make visible in your organization?
THE 3AM QUESTION

- Version zum Drucken
- Per E-Mail versenden
- Newsletter abonnieren

- Twittern

No comments yet.