Why Customer Closeness Fails Without Organizational Coherence

09.09.2026 09:29:45 | Management Insights, Lukas Michel | 0 Comments | Customer-focus,

Retail

The patterns of the retail industry

Retail looks close to the customer.

And often it is.

Retail organizations live in fast-moving markets, close to demand, shifting preferences, pricing pressure, promotions, supply volatility, local competition, and constant operational adjustment. They are usually highly exposed to what is happening now.

That proximity is a strength.

But it is not enough.

One of the most useful insights from the retail chapter in Patterns of Mastery is that customer closeness does not automatically create organizational mastery. The manuscript describes retail as a sector where reacting to internal and external competition becomes the hallmark of leadership. The result is that innovation — one of the most important features of future success — is often overlooked.

That is the central tension in retail.

The organization stays close to the market.

But it can still be internally incoherent.

Why retail often feels dynamic but not truly adaptive

Retail organizations rarely appear static.

They adjust assortments.

They react to pricing moves.
They shift promotions.
They adapt operations.
They respond to seasonal, local, and category-level changes.

The manuscript reinforces this pattern by classifying typical retail firms as change-based organizations. In the broader typology, retail belongs to the “changers” pattern: organizations that repeatedly alter structures, reallocate resources, and intensify direct managerial influence in response to shifting conditions.

This gives retail visible motion.

But motion is not the same as coherence.

The challenge is not whether retail leaders respond.

The challenge is whether the organization is built to respond intelligently — without losing focus, motivation, and innovation along the way.

The retail strength: people often work well together

The manuscript’s retail chapter is not just a critique.

It also identifies a real base of strength.

The work environment in the typical retail organization shows high middle-tier scores for purpose, relationships, and collaboration, creating a solid foundation
for resilience and growth. The text suggests that people in retail companies often work well together and that this helps the organization adjust incrementally to environmental change.

That is important.

Because it means retail is not starting from zero.

There is often enough human connection in the system to support adaptation.

People know the customer.
They know the local pressure.
They know the rhythm of the business.
They often cooperate under real demands.

The issue is not a complete absence of social strength.

The issue is whether leadership and systems turn that strength into sustained capability.

The hidden retail trap: obsession with numbers

The manuscript is very direct on the central leadership problem in retail.

It states that the main leadership challenge rests with an obsession for numbers and misunderstood drivers of motivation. It also says the dominant capability barriers arise from top-down leadership obsessed with numbers, systems with antique management tools like MBO, and poor leaders who fail to communicate strategy and the role individuals play in implementing it.

This is a powerful diagnosis.

Retail is full of numbers:
sales, traffic, margin, conversion, shrinkage, labor cost, stock turns, markdowns, basket size, like-for-like growth, inventory ratios, fulfillment rates.

None of these metrics is unimportant.

The problem begins when numbers become the dominant management language.

Then leaders start to believe that seeing the business is the same as managing it well.

It is not.

Why metrics can weaken rather than strengthen performance

The manuscript includes a sharp expert warning here.

It argues that many companies overload themselves with metrics, KPIs, CPVs, or OKRs, often without thinking through the

unintended consequences. The text explicitly warns that the overuse of performance measures can become anti-change and that many measurement systems mainly provide bureaucracy with cover under the belief that control is management's primary function. It recommends limiting metrics and aligning them with a sound organizational model.

This fits retail particularly well.

Retail leaders often want tight visibility.
They want real-time control.
They want accountability at every level.

But when metrics multiply too far, they start changing behavior in the wrong way.

People manage the measure.
Short-term numbers crowd out judgment.
Store, channel, or category leaders optimize locally.
Managers communicate targets without meaning.
People focus on reporting rather than contribution.

That is how customer closeness starts to fail.

Not because people lose sight of the customer.

But because the organization loses sight of how people actually create value.

Why communication often fails in a numbers-driven environment

One of the strongest lines in the chapter is that executives often communicate frequently but with little understanding or meaning for lower-level workers. The manuscript says people are expected to achieve predetermined goals, while non-standard decisions are pushed upward to top management. This creates a culture full of "viruses" that interfere with implementation speed and performance rather than unlocking potential.

This is a familiar retail pattern.

Messages are frequent.
Targets are visible.
Priorities are pushed.

Campaigns are communicated.

Yet people closer to the work still do not fully understand how strategy connects to what they should contribute.

That is not a communication-volume problem.

It is a meaning problem.

And once strategy loses meaning at the operating level, customer closeness turns reactive rather than intelligent.

The retail contradiction: strong customer awareness, weak innovation

The manuscript describes the retail Leadership Scorecard as being highlighted by the ability to understand customers' needs and wants. Yet it also says that innovation is overlooked, motivation is low, and the overall organization fulfils only one of the six criteria of competitive advantage. Traditional management practices and antique MBO stifle motivation and creativity, leaving retail without a modern design for work.

This contradiction is critical.

Retail firms often understand the customer better than they understand themselves.

They know what shoppers are doing.
But they do not always know what their own operating system is doing to their people.

That is why a company can be commercially alert and still managerially weak.

It may see the market clearly.

It may not see the organizational pattern limiting its response.

The crisis of red tape in retail

The manuscript identifies the life-cycle challenge in retail very

clearly.

The average retail organization operates in the coordination growth stage with complex segmented structures, creating a crisis of red tape. Layers of bureaucracy, approvals, and documentation accumulate because management believes control is its primary task. The result is slower decision-making and weaker responsiveness.

This is a major insight.

Retail often appears fast at the surface.

But once scale grows — across banners, regions, channels, categories, formats, supply structures, and functions — complexity rises sharply.

The usual managerial response is more reporting, more approvals, more control, more alignment pressure.

And that is where customer closeness starts to fail internally.

Because by the time the organization has routed the response through enough levels of management, the speed and intelligence of the front line have already been diluted.

Why retail needs ambidextrous leadership

The manuscript makes a very practical recommendation here.

It suggests recruiting executives and managers with the ability for ambidextrous thinking. It also highlights “both/and” leadership as essential in complex environments where leaders must balance short and long-term views, exploration and exploitation, and multiple roles at once.

That is exactly the type of leadership retail needs.

Retail cannot choose between today’s numbers and tomorrow’s relevance.
It cannot choose between efficiency and innovation.
It cannot choose between execution and learning.

It has to hold both.

That is why better retail leadership is not simply more operational

discipline.

It is better integration.

What leaders should look for in retail organizations

Retail leaders should ask harder questions than whether sales and margin are on target.

Those matter.

But the deeper questions are these:

Where is the obsession with numbers crowding out meaning and motivation?
Where are people cooperating well, but still working inside a system that does not fully support modern work?
Where do metrics reinforce the right activities, and where do they create unintended consequences?
Where is customer understanding strong, but innovation still weak?
Where are approvals and documentation slowing responsiveness?
Where do people still need better communication around strategy and their role in implementing it?

These are not peripheral questions in retail.

They determine whether the company remains commercially reactive — or becomes organizationally masterful.

The development path: dynamic operations and people-centric principles

The manuscript’s action agenda points clearly in one direction.

Retail organizations need to move beyond traditional change management, develop dynamic operating systems, and adopt agile management techniques with collaboration capabilities based on people-centric management principles. It also identifies a dynamic shift as the priority at the current

maturity level.

That does not mean becoming looser.

Retail still needs commercial discipline.
It still needs operational control.
It still needs clear numbers.

But it also needs an operating system that does not treat control as the only task of management.

That means:

fewer but better metrics,
stronger strategy communication,
clearer contribution dialogue,
more meaningful collaboration across the organization,
and leadership that helps people work with the system rather than around it.

That is how customer closeness begins to translate into organizational coherence.

The first step: create your own Organization Twin

The most practical first step is not another reporting layer.

It is to create your own Organization Twin.

Through a Structured Reflection — a standardized online questionnaire that takes about 15 minutes — you create a first evidence-based representation of how your organization currently works.

This produces two practical views:

The Capability Profile, which makes visible the broader organizational pattern: strategy, business model, organizational form, management context, growth stage, operating capabilities, and competitive barriers.

The Leadership Scorecard, which reveals how systems, leadership, culture, and success interact, and whether the organization supports understanding, thinking, delivery, engagement, and meaningful boundaries.

Together, they help leaders see whether customer closeness is actually supported by organizational coherence — or quietly undermined by numbers-driven management and red tape.

Not as a judgment.
Not as a ranking.
Not as criticism of individuals.

But as preparation for a Guided Clarity Session.

Retail wins when it sees beyond the dashboard

Retail has always been close to the customer.

The question now is whether it can become equally close to the real dynamics inside its own organization.

Because future retail success will not come only from better assortment, sharper pricing, or tighter execution.

It will also come from a better management pattern — one that still understands the numbers, but does not confuse the dashboard with the work of leadership.

- Version zum Drucken
- Per E-Mail versenden
- Newsletter abonnieren

- Twittern

No comments yet.